

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/720,915	11/24/2003	Robert A. Sovik	TRAN-0012	5248	
23550 7	590 02/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S	
3 E-COMM SO ALBANY, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
110011111, 111			3671		
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>``</del>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
$\beta$	10/720,915	SOVIK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Gary Hartmann	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI attacts to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communi  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 December 2004		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	=	ters, prosecution as to the meri	its is
closed in accordance with the practice ur			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5-16 and 20-22 is/are rejected for the claim(s) 2-4 and 17-19 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to restriction are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject to rest	thdrawn from consideration.  I.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exact 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 200 Applicant may not request that any objection is Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sp	13 is/are: a)  accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Stage	9
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/720,915

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5-16 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vögele (German Patent 21 13 358) in view of Lenker (U.S. Patent 4,181,449).

Vögele discloses a pavement ramp edge maker including a compaction member (3, 6) and a coupling device (2 and bar extending down from 2, adjacent 5). The angle appears to be less than 45 degrees and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used an angle of less than 45 degrees in order to increase safety for users of the finished product, as is well known in the art. The compaction member is vertically movable, but it is not taught to be movable against a bias. Lenker teaches that it using a bias enhances the quality of an angled layer of pavement (column 5, lines 24-31). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a bias with the apparatus of Vögele for this purpose.

There is a paving material directing member (4).

Regarding claim 7, the coupling device (2, 5) and the piece (not labeled) which extends outwardly from, and in the opposite direction to the compaction member (3), meet the recitation of a pair of support members.

Regarding claims 8-12, the unlabeled piece has a rounded leading edge adapted to engage the surface. This piece meets the recitations of a trailing directing member.

Regarding claims 12-14, the limitations regarding the edge angle are met by the portion (6) of the compaction member. Regarding the specific angle of 35 degrees, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used this angle in order to increase safety for users of the finished product, as is well known in the art.

Regarding claims 15, 16 and 20-22, there is an end gate (5) and a screed (not labeled).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 2, 2004 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9am-7pm.

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

gh